



CAP60 Update

State-wide Mappings; ROMA
Next Gen; etc.

KAYLA BURT, MPPA

Workshop Outline

- Case Study: NDAPL
- Research: Nonprofit Performance Monitoring
- Standardized Mappings
 - When is it an emergency?
 - When is it a non-emergency?
- ROMA Next Gen
 - Questions
 - Software questions

Case Study: NDAPL

“Minor DAPL Leak Shows Differences in State Reporting Requirements”

- **When is a spill a spill?**
 - EPA law says “reports only if spills to navigable waters or adjoining shorelines”
 - States determine what is a spill (10th Amendment)
 - ND reports differently than SD
- At the end of March, North Dakota passed a law ending the reporting requirements for all contained spills of less than 10 barrels, or 420 gallons.
- 1,546 spills reported in North Dakota during 2016, 58 percent, or 907 were of 10 barrels or less. Additionally, nearly 77 percent of all reported spills in the state were entirely contained on the well pad and had “pretty much zero environmental impact”
- South Dakota law requires reporting if any of several conditions, including a visible sheen or a discharge of over 25 gallons, is met. **As a result, a reported spill in South Dakota might not be considered such in North Dakota.**



Research: Nonprofit Performance Monitoring

- Nonprofits rely on qualitative performance data and examine equitable access to services, contractors' reputation, and compliance with industry rules and regulations
- Performance measurement is used widely, but with varying intensity and scope:
 - Difficult to generate useful measures
 - Capacity to interpret and use performance data while avoiding simplifications, narrow-mindedness, and compliant attitudes
 - High levels of service complexity and tangibility, and the long time frames for detecting the outcomes
- Monitors working with nonprofit contractors are more likely to rely on qualitative data and examine equitable access to services, contractors' reputation, and service compliance with the regulations.
- Two leading models to understand performance:
 - I. Goal attainment model: Examines the degree to which organizations realize their goals
 - II. Multiple constituency model: focuses on the extent of satisfying internal and external constituencies
- Milward and Provan (2000) argue that a higher scope of performance measurement is associated with more clarity in the principal-agent relationships; clarity, in turn, improves the effectiveness of contract implementation

CSBG Mappings: Service Type and NPI

SSVF

Budget Counseling	Only Applicant	1.3D
Car Repair	Only Applicant	1.2F OR 6.4C
Gas Cards	Only Applicant	1.2 F OR 6.4C
Hotel/Motel payment	All HH members	6.2E
Rent payment	All HH members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
Rent payment (emergency)	All HH Members	6.2C
Utility Payment	All HH members	1.2 L OR 6.4 I
Utility Deposit	All HH members	1.2 L OR 6.4 I
Utility Payment (Emergency)	All HH members	6.2B
Transportation (Emergency)	Only Applicant	6.2I
Transportation Asst	Only Applicant	1.2 F OR 6.4C
Misc. Employment Expenses	Only Applicant	none
Misc. Housing Stability Expenses	All HH Members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E

VITA

Income Mgmt I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Tax Prep Time	All on NPI	1.3A
Tax Saved Amt	All on NPI	1.3A

Energy Share

Emergency service I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
E payment (state)	All HH members	6.2B
E Payment (efunds)	All HH Members	6.2B

HOME

Income Mgmt I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Inspection	All HH members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
HOME Rehab	All HH members	1.2 H AND 1.2K OR 6.4E and 6.4H AND 2.1D
Rental Payment	All HH members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
Utility Payment	All HH members	1.2 L OR 6.4I

CSBG Mappings: Service Type and NPI

Wx

Income Mgmt I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Inspection	All HH members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
Wx	All HH members	1.2 H AND 1.2K OR 6.4E AND 6.4 H AND 2.1D

IDA

Income Mgmt I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Open IDA	Only Applicant	1.3E
Matched Saving	Only Applicant	1.3F

ND CAP

Self-Sufficiency I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Employment Skills Scholarship	Only Applicant	1.2A
ABE/GED Scholarship	Only Applicant	1.2B
Post-Secondary Scholarship	Only Applicant	1.2C
Clothing for Employment	Only Applicant	6.5C

Helping Hands

Income Mgmt I and R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Inspection	All HH members	1.2 H OR 6.4E
Helping Hands	All HH members	1.2 H AND 1.2K OR 6.4E AND 6.4 H AND 2.1D

Furnace

Emergency Service I & R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Furnace Repair/Replace	All in HH	6.2D

Cooling

Emergency Service I & R	Only Applicant	6.5E
Cooling Repair/Replace	All in HH	6.2D

CSFP

Commodity Supplemental Food Program	Applicant Only	6.4F
Nutrition I & R	Applicant Only	6.5E

CSBG Mappings: Service Type and NPI

HS

Head Start Enrollment	All HH members	6.3A, 6.3B, 6.3C, 6.3D, 6.3j, 6.3K 1.2E (employable*most) OR 6.4B (seniors and disabled)
Education I & R	Applicant Only	6.5E

EHS

Early HS Enrollment	All HH members	6.3A, 6.3B, 6.3C, 6.3D, 6.3j, 6.3K 1.2E
Education I & R	Applicant Only	6.5E

In-House Food Pantry

Food Pantry	All HH Members	1.2I OR 6.4F
Nutrition I & R	Only Applicant	6.5E

Exclusive Outcomes: 1 vs. 6

- When is rent an emergency vs. a non-emergency?
 - CSBG definition (from CSBG Lexicon Oct. 2015):
 - Emergency Cash Assistance: One-time payments to families or individuals to help them meet emergency needs for shelter, food, clothing, fuel, etc.
 - Cash Assistance/Loans: Cash assistance in the form of one-time payments to families or individuals to help them meet emergency needs for shelter, food, clothing, fuel, etc. Short-term loans to families or individuals to help them meet emergency needs for shelter, food, clothing, fuel, etc.
 - Emergency Energy Support: Use of CSBG resources to amplify or supplement the crisis assistance or fuel payment aspects of any home energy assistance programs that are run by local CSBG agencies.
 - Donated Goods/Services/Cash Mobilizing: storing and distributing donations of money, food, clothing, furniture, wood and other fuels, and professional services to help families and individuals meet one-time emergencies or recover from disasters.
 - Emergency definition = presents immediate concern to health, safety, etc.

ROMA Next Gen

CSBG IM #152 Annual Report

- The new CSBG Annual Report marks the largest overhaul of CSBG data collection and reporting since the first comprehensive CSBG Information Survey (CSBG-IS) was developed in 1983.
- At the local eligible entity level, the information in the CSBG Annual Report will support agency efforts to set and measure progress on targets for individual, family, and community outcomes resulting from locally-determined services and strategies to address locally-identified community needs.

Why the change?

- A “complete package” of Local, State, and Federal Accountability: ROMA NG allows for progress on data modernization, infrastructure, and capacity for analysis on all 3 levels.
 - More detailed demographics
 - More details on progress of community initiatives

Module 3 (Community Level)

Section B: Goal 2

Counts of Change	Counts of Change for Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators				I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
	1. Number of accessible and affordable physical health assets or resources created in the identified community.								
	2. Number of accessible and affordable behavioral and mental health assets or resources created in the identified community.								
	3. Number of public safety assets and resources created in the identified community.								
	4. Number of accessible and affordable healthy food resources created in the identified community.								
	5. Number of activities designed to improve police and community relations within the identified community.								
Other Counts of Change	Other Counts of Change for Health and Social/Behavioral Indicators- Please specify below.				I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)	
Rates of Change	Rates of Change for Physical Health, Wellbeing, and Development Indicators		I.) Identified Community (auto-populated)	II.) Baseline existing starting point used for comparisons (#)	III.) Target (#)	IV.) Expected % change from baseline (Target % auto calculated)	V.) Actual Results (#)	VI.) Actual % change from baseline (% auto calculated)	VII.) Performance target accuracy (% auto calculated)
	1. Percent decrease in infant mortality rate in the identified community.								
	2. Percent decrease in childhood obesity rate in the identified community.								
	3. Percent decrease in adult obesity rate in the identified community.								
	4. Percent increase in child immunization rate in the identified community.								
	5. Percent decrease in uninsured families in the identified community.								

Module 4 (Individual & Family Level)

Section A: Goal 1

Employment	I.) Number of Participants Served in program(s) (#)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Percentage Achieving Outcome [III/ I = IV] (% auto calculated)	V.) Performance Target Accuracy (III/II = V) (% auto calculated)
8. The number of employed participants in a career-advancement related program who entered or transitioned into a position that provided increased income and/or benefits.					
a. Of the above, the number of employed participants who increased income from employment through wage or salary amount increase.					
b. Of the above, the number of employed participants who increased income from employment through hours worked increase.					
c. Of the above, the number of employed participants who increased benefits related to employment.					
Other Employment Outcome Indicator	I.) Number of Participants Served in program(s) (#)	II.) Target (#)	III.) Actual Results (#)	IV.) Percentage Achieving Outcome [III/ I = IV] (% auto calculated)	V.) Performance Target Accuracy (III/II = V) (% auto calculated)
9. The number of individuals or households _____.					



DONNELLY

*“I don’t just want a new normal,
I want a new, good normal.”*

ROMA Next Gen ?

General Questions and Related to Software!

To be shared with NASCSP.